

# Higher Order Conditional Random Fields in Deep Neural Networks



Anurag Arnab, Sadeep Jayasumana, Shuai Zheng, Philip H.S. Torr

#### Aim

• End-to-end training of a Higher Order Conditional Random Field (CRF) for the problem of semantic segmentation.

#### Background

- Fully convolutional networks (FCNs) classify pixels independently of each other, and produce noisy predictions which do not respect image edges.
- To combat this, CRFs with pairwise terms [1], encouraging spatial and appearance consistency, are usually used as post-processing.

#### Our Approach with Higher Order Potentials

# Superpixel Potential

Our learnable superpixel potential enforces consistency over regions obtained by superpixels. This is a soft constraint using a  $P^n$ -Potts type energy [4]. We use superpixels over multiple scales, which do not necessarily have to form a hierarchy.

$${}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{X}_{s} = \mathbf{x}_{s}) = \begin{cases} w_{\mathrm{Low}}(l) & \text{if all } x_{s}^{(i)} = l, \\ w_{\mathrm{High}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# **Experimental Results on PASCAL VOC 2012**

Table 1: Mean Intersection over Union (IoU) on

*Table 2: Effect of each Higher Order* potential on Reduced Validation Set.

- We formulate a richer and more expressive CRF model which utilises two *Higher Or*der Potentials (potentials defined over cliques of more than two variables).
- We use the *differentiable Mean Field inference* algorithm to obtain the most probable labelling, and incorporate it *as a layer of our neural network*.
- This allows *end-to-end training* of our Higher Order CRF with an FCN.
- *Detection potential* uses the complementary cues of an object detector to improve segmentations. It helps in cases where initial unaries are poor.
- *Superpixel potential* encourages consistency over larger regions, and removes spurious noise from the output.



Figure 1: We train a Higher Order CRF end-to-end with a pixelwise CNN. Our higher orders *improve significantly over only pairwise potentials [2].* 





the VOC Test Set compared to other works.

 $\psi_s^{\mathrm{S}}$ 

Method	Mean loU [%]	Method	Mean loU [%]	Method	Mean IoU [%]
Ours			77.9	Baseline (Unary + Pairwise)	72.9
DPN [3]	77.5	Centrale [6]	75.7	Superpixels Only	74.0
Dilated [7]	75.3	BoxSup [8]	75.2	Detections Only	74.9
Attention [9]	75.1	CRF-RNN [2]	74.7	Superpixels and Detections	75.8



Figure 2: Output of system without superpixel potentials (left). Superpixels obtained from the method of [5]. Only one of the four "layers" is shown (middle). Note how the superpixel potentials get rid of spurious noise (right).









### Formulation

A Conditional Random Field is defined as

 $\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{I}) = (1/Z(\mathbf{I})) \exp(-E(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{I}))$ 

In our case, the energy (ignoring conditioning on Image I) is:

 $E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \psi_{i}^{U}(x_{i}) + \sum_{i < j} \psi_{ij}^{P}(x_{i}, x_{j}) + \sum_{d} \psi_{d}^{\text{Det}}(\mathbf{x}_{d}) + \sum_{s} \psi_{s}^{\text{SP}}(\mathbf{x}_{s})$ Pairwise[1] Unaries from Detection Superpixel potentials CNN potentials

# **Detection Potential**

Our detection uses the output of an object detector as additional cues for segmentation. Intuitively, object detectors can help when our pixelwise predictions are incorrect.

- Assume we have D object detections for a given image.
- The  $d^{th}$  detection is of the form  $(l_d, s_d, F_d)$
- $l_d \in \mathcal{L}$  is the class label of the  $d^{th}$  detection.
- $s_d$  is the detection score.
- $n_d$  is the number of foreground pixels in the  $d^{th}$  detection.
- Introduce binary latent variables,  $Y_1, Y_2 \dots Y_D$  one for each detection



Figure 3: Qualitative comparison of our baseline with only pairwise potentials [2], and our method with higher orders. Our method uses object detection bounding boxes as an additional input, which are overlaid on the images.

# **Extension to Instance Segmentation**

We have recently extended our detection potentials for the task of Instance Segmentation [10]. The detections inform us about possible object instances, and the problem is then to assign each pixel to an instance represented by a detection.



- Models whether detection is accepted or not.
- $\Pr(Y_d = 1)$  initialised with  $s_d$ , the score of the object detector.

•  $w_{\text{Det}}(l_d)$  is a learnable weight parameter that is a function of the class label.

This potential encourages consistency between detections,  $\mathbf{Y}$ , and labelled pixels,  $\mathbf{X}$ :

$$\psi_d^{\text{Det}}(\mathbf{X}_d = \mathbf{x}_d, Y_d = y_d) = \begin{cases} w_{\text{Det}}(l_d) \frac{s_d}{n_d} \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} [x_d^{(i)} = l_d] & \text{if } y_d = 0, \\ w_{\text{Det}}(l_d) \frac{s_d}{n_d} \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} [x_d^{(i)} \neq l_d] & \text{if } y_d = 1. \end{cases}$$

Figure 4: Instance Segmentation results using our Detection potential, as described in [10]. We produce both semantic segmentations (left) and instance segmentations

# Conclusion

- Introduced two higher order potentials for a CRF which can be integrated into a deep neural network and trained end-to-end.
- Achieved the best performance on PASCAL VOC 2012 dataset at time of submission.
- In subsequent work [10], we have showed how our Detection potential can be used for the task of Instance Segmentation.

[1]	P. Krahenbuhl <i>et al.</i> Efficient Inference in fully connected CRFs with Gaussian		I. Kokkinos. Pushing the boundaries of boundary detection. In <i>ICLR</i> , 2016.	
[2]	edge potentials. In <i>NIPS,</i> 2011.	[7]	F. Yu <i>et al</i> . Multi-scale context aggregation by dilated convolutions. In <i>ICLR,</i>	
[2]	<i>ICCV</i> ,2015.	гоı	2010. I Dai <i>at al</i> Roysup: Evoluting bounding boyos to supervise convolutional pet	
[3]	u <i>et al.</i> Semantic Segmentation via deep parsing network. In <i>ICCV,</i> 2015.		works for semantic segmentation. In <i>ICCV</i> , 2015.	
[4]	P. Kohli <i>et al.</i> P3 & Beyond: Solving energies with higher order cliques. In <i>CVPR,</i> 2007.	[9]	L. Chen <i>et al</i> . Attention to scale: Scale-aware semantic image segmentation. In <i>CVPR</i> , 2016.	
[5]	P. Felzenszwalb <i>et al</i> . Efficient graph-based image segmentation. <i>IJCV</i> , 2004.	[10	] A Arnab <i>et al.</i> Bottom-up Instance Segmentation with Higher Order CRFs. In <i>BMVC</i> , 2016	

#### www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~tvg/projects/HoCrfCnn | aarnab@robots.ox.ac.uk